

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Α

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 10/708,947 HTCP0014USA 2946 04/02/2004 Ren-Peng Chen 10/11/2005 **EXAMINER** 27765 7590 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION RAY, GOPAL C P.O. BOX 506 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MERRIFIELD, VA 22116 2111

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

We -		
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/708,947	CHEN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gopal C. Ray	2111
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under A	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matte	-
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyan tion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A crity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/11/04, 11/11/04.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/708,947 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 1-29 are presented for examination.

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 3. The drawings filed on 4/2/04 are acceptable by the examiner. However, direct any inquiries concerning drawing review by the USPTO draftsperson to the Drawing Review Branch at (703) 305-8404.
- 4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is <u>clearly indicative</u> of the invention to which the claims are directed. The examiner believes that the title of the invention is broad. A descriptive title indicative of the invention will help in proper indexing, classifying, searching, etc. See MPEP 606.01. However, the title of the invention should be limited to 500 characters.
- 5. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification. Furthermore, all claims should be revised carefully to eliminate all grammatical errors and antecedent basis problems.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent 5,754,789 granted to Nowatzyk et al.

As per claim 1, the reference of Nowatzyk et al. teaches, "a first processor" in Fig. 2, element 21; "a first serial/parallel data transformer comprising a parallel port and

Art Unit: 2111

a serial port; a second serial/parallel data transformer comprising a parallel port and a serial port" in Fig. 3(a) and col. 5, lines 55-59; "a control unit for selectively connecting in an electrical fashion the first processor to the parallel port of the first serial/parallel data ..." (lines 9-16) in Fig. 4; Fig. 5, element 29 and col. 5, line 65 – col. 6, line 11.

As per claim 2, the reference of Nowatzyk et al. teaches "a serial device electrically connected to the serial port of the first serial/parallel data transformer" in Fig.1.

As per claim 3, the reference of Nowatzyk teaches the added limitations of the claim in col. 6, lines 8-11.

As per claim 4, the claim is rejected for similar reasons as discussed in the rejection of claim 1 with the exception of "a second processor electrically connected to the parallel port of the second serial/parallel data transformer". However, the reference of Nowatzyk inherently teaches the feature in Fig. 4, elements B-E.

As per claim 18, the limitations of the claim are subset of claim 1 above.

Therefore, the rejection of claim 1 also applies in this claim.

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2111

9. Claims 5-17 and 19-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 5,754,789 granted to Nowatzyk et al. in view of common knowledge in the art.

As per claims dependent claims 5-17, the claims recite various diverse limitations such as "first processor has an operational voltage equal to that of the second processor" (claim 5), "first processor has an operational voltage different from that of the second processor" (claim 6), etc. However, the examiner takes Official Notice that the extra features claimed in claims 5-17 are well known in the data communication art at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data communication art at the time the invention was made to implement the above features in the system of Nowatzyk et al. to obtain the claimed invention as claimed in claims 5-17 because these are straightforward possibilities from which one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would select in accordance with circumstances without the exercise of inventive skill so as to allow the system to be compatible with a widely used standard and to take advantage of the many benefits provided by those features.

As per dependent claims 19-29, the added limitations of the claims are same as claims 7-17 respectively. Therefore the rejection of claims 7-17 discussed above is also applicable to claims 19-29.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is urged to consider the references. However, the references should be evaluated by what they suggest to one versed in the art, rather

Art Unit: 2111

than by their specific disclosure. The prior art submitted by applicant has been considered by the examiner and made of record in the file.

If applicants are aware of any better prior art than those are of record, they are required to bring the prior art to the attention of the examiner. Applicants are also reminded that each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56. Applicants are advised to submit any information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gopal C. Ray whose telephone number is (571) 272-3631. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart, can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record

Art Unit: 2111

includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to TC central telephone number is (571) 272-2100. Moreover, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lastly, paper copies of cited U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications ceased to be mailed to applicants with office actions as of June 2004. Paper copies of Foreign Patents and Non-Patent Literature will continue to be included with office actions. These cited U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications are available for download via Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. Patents and Patent Application Publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the office of Public Records and from commercial sources. Applicants are referred to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-

Application/Control Number: 10/708,947 Page 7

Art Unit: 2111

217-9197 for information on this policy. Requests to restart a period for response due to a missing U.S. Patent or Patent Application Publications will not be granted.

GOPAL C. RAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2\$00